UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,104	05/06/2005	Yuji Nishida	46244	5136
	7590 04/15/201 NISON & SELTER		EXAMINER	
	2000 M STREET NW SUITE 700		STULII, VERA	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,104		NISHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Examiner VERA STULII	Art Unit 1781	

	VERA STULII	1781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.		
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as at forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  IOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	ncause		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally raise	octed claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-6, 8, 12-13, 15-17, 20, 22, 25-26 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>34-36</u> .				
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a Ne	stice of Annael will not	t ha antarad		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>02/08/20</u>	<u>)10</u>			
/Keith D. Hendricks/	More Studii				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781	/Vera Stulii/ Examiner, Art Unit 1781				

## Continuation of 3.

NOTE: The newly proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and search, because claims 15 and 16 present new limitations not previously presented or considered:

----- "with growth of yeast" in the phrase "through a fermentation stopping process where the fermentation with growth of yeast is stopped at an alcohol concentration ...".

## Continuation of 11:

Applicant's comments filed 03/08/2010 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. The rejections are maintained for the reasons of record (Final Office Action mailed 12/08/2009 (pages 2-10).